

Matthew S. Warren (State Bar No. 230565)
 Erika H. Warren (State Bar No. 295570)
 Sachli Balazadeh-Nayeri (State Bar No. 341885)
 22-3892@cases.warrenlex.com
 WARREN LEX LLP
 2261 Market Street, No. 606
 San Francisco, California, 94114
 +1 (415) 895-2940
 +1 (415) 895-2964 facsimile

David I. Berl (*pro hac vice*)
 Adam D. Harber (*pro hac vice*)
 Elise M. Baumgarten (*pro hac vice*)
 Melissa B. Collins (*pro hac vice*)
 D. Shayon Ghosh (State Bar No. 313628)
 Arthur John Argall III (*pro hac vice*)
 Andrew G. Borrasso (*pro hac vice*)
 WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
 680 Maine Avenue S.W.
 Washington, D.C., 20024
 +1 (202) 434-5000
 +1 (202) 434-5029 facsimile

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Gentex Corporation
 and Indigo Technologies, LLC*

Ellisen Shelton Turner (SBN #224842)
 Joshua Glucoft (SBN #301249)
 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
 2049 Century Park East, Suite 3700
 Los Angeles, CA 90067
 Tel: (310) 552-4200
 Fax: (310) 552-5900
 ellisen.turner@kirkland.com
 josh.glucoft@kirkland.com

Akshay S. Deoras (SBN #301962)
 Yan-Xin Li (SBN #332329)
 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
 555 California Street
 Tel: (415) 439-1400
 Fax: (415) 439-1500
 akshay.deoras@kirkland.com
 yanxin.li@kirkland.com

Jeanne M. Heffernan (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
 401 Congress Avenue
 Austin, TX 78701
 Tel: (512) 678-9100
 Fax: (512) 678-9101
 jheffernan@kirkland.com

*Counsel for Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc.
 and Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GENTEX CORPORATION and INDIGO
 TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

THALES VISIONIX, INC.,

Involuntary Plaintiff,

v.

META PLATFORMS, INC. and META
 PLATFORM TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

Defendants.

Case No. 4:22-cv-3892-YGR

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
 ORDER TO EXTEND BRIEFING
 SCHEDULE REGARDING
 DOCKET NO. 129**

Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

1 WHEREAS, on March 2, 2023, Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc. and Meta Platforms
2 Technologies, LLC (collectively, “Meta”) moved for leave to file an amended answer. Dkt. 129.
3 Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Meta’s Motion for Leave to File an Amended Answer was originally due
4 March 16, 2023;

5 WHEREAS, on March 16, 2023, the parties stipulated to a two-week extension of the deadlines
6 for Plaintiffs’ response to Meta’s motion for leave to file an amended answer, and a corresponding two-
7 week extension of the deadline for Meta’s reply in support of that motion, Dkt. 131;

8 WHEREAS, on March 22, 2023, the parties stipulated to stay this litigation in view of the
9 institution of *inter partes* review proceedings until the Patent Trial and Appeal Board issues its last Final
10 Written Decision in the *inter partes* review proceedings, and to file a Joint Status Notice within seven
11 days of such decision advising the Court whether a case management conference should be scheduled
12 and/or other actions should be taken, Dkt. 132;

13 WHEREAS, on March 22, 2023, the parties further stipulated that Meta’s Motion for Leave to
14 File an Amended Answer would be deemed withdrawn without prejudice upon the Court’s approval of
15 the parties’ stipulation, Dkt. 132;

16 WHEREAS, good cause exists for this continuance because the parties have stipulated to stay
17 this litigation pending the *inter partes* review proceedings and to deem Meta’s Motion for Leave to File
18 an Amended Answer as withdrawn without prejudice upon the Court’s approval of the parties’
19 stipulation;

20 NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

21 1. The deadlines for Gentex’s Opposition to Meta’s Motion for Leave to File an Amended
22 Answer and for Meta’s Reply thereto are vacated until the Court issues an order on the parties’
23 stipulation to stay this litigation.

24 2. In the event that the Court does not stay this litigation despite the parties’ stipulation at
25 Dkt. 132, the parties agree to meet and confer in good faith to set a new briefing schedule for Gentex’s
26 Opposition and Meta’s Reply and to set a new hearing date associated with Meta’s Motion for Leave to
27 File an Amended Answer at Dkt. 129.

Date: March 30, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Melissa B. Collins

Matthew S. Warren (State Bar No. 230565)
 Erika H. Warren (State Bar No. 295570)
 Sachli Balazadeh-Nayeri (State Bar No. 341885)
 22-3892@cases.warrenlex.com
 WARREN LEX LLP
 2261 Market Street, No. 606
 San Francisco, California, 94114
 +1 (415) 895-2940
 +1 (415) 895-2964 facsimile

/s/ Joshua Glucoft

Ellisen Shelton Turner (SBN #224842)
 Joshua Glucoft (SBN #301249)
 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
 2049 Century Park East, Suite 3700
 Los Angeles, CA 90067
 Tel: (310) 552-4200
 Fax: (310) 552-5900
 ellisen.turner@kirkland.com
 josh.glucoft@kirkland.com

David I. Berl (*pro hac vice*)
 Adam D. Harber (*pro hac vice*)
 Elise M. Baumgarten (*pro hac vice*)
 Melissa B. Collins (*pro hac vice*)
 Arthur John Argall III (*pro hac vice*)
 Andrew G. Borrasso (*pro hac vice*)
 WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
 680 Maine Avenue S.W.
 Washington, D.C., 20024
 +1 (202) 434-5000
 +1 (202) 434-5029 facsimile

Akshay S. Deoras (SBN #301962)
 Yan-Xin Li (SBN #332329)
 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
 555 California Street
 Tel: (415) 439-1400
 Fax: (415) 439-1500
 akshay.deoras@kirkland.com
 yanxin.li@kirkland.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Gentex Corporation
 and Indigo Technologies, LLC*

Jeanne M. Heffernan (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
 401 Congress Avenue
 Austin, TX 78701
 Tel: (512) 678-9100
 Fax: (512) 678-9101
 jheffernan@kirkland.com

*Counsel for Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc.
 and Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC*

SIGNATURE ATTESTATION

Under Civil L.R. 5-1(h)(3), I attest that all electronic signatories to the foregoing document have concurred in this filing.

/s/ Sachli Balazadeh-Nayeri

Sachli Balazadeh-Nayeri

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Having reviewed the above Stipulation Extending Briefing Deadlines, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Court finds that good cause exists for the entry of this Order:

1. The deadlines for Gentex's Opposition to Meta's Motion for Leave to File an Amended Answer and for Meta's Reply thereto are vacated until the Court issues an order on the parties' stipulation to stay this litigation.

2. In the event that the Court does not stay this litigation despite the parties' stipulation at Dkt. 132, the parties shall meet and confer in good faith to set a new briefing schedule for Gentex's Opposition and Meta's Reply and set a new hearing date associated with Meta's Motion for Leave to File an Amended Answer at Dkt. 129.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____

HONORABLE YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE